## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT AXIS INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL PTE LTD 21A Duxton Road Singapore 089487 WRITTEN OPINION SINGAPOUR (PCT Rule 66) CONFIRMATION OF FAX Date of mailing 2 2, 12, 2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference **REPLY DUE** within 1 month(s) and 15 days WIL-P001WO from the above date of mailing international application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/SG 03/00217 12.09.2003 21.10.2002 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L29/06 Applicant WIRELESS INTELLECT LABS PTE LTD et al.

- 1 This written opinion is the second drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
- - $\boxtimes$ Basis of the opinion
  - **Priority** 11
  - Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - Lack of unity of invention
  - Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - Certain documents cited
  - VII Certain defects in the international application
  - VIII 🗆 Certain observations on the international application
- 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.
  - When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit,

request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. How?

Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.

For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 21.02.2005

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

**Authorized Officer** 

Karavassilis, N

Formalities officer (Incl. extension of time limits) Van Deursen, T Telephone No. +31 70 340-3478



PCT/SG 03/00217

I. Basi	s of the	opinion
---------	----------	---------

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

<ol> <li>With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been fithe receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "original"):</li> </ol>			ents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to esponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally		
	De	scription, Pages			
	1-9	r	as originally filed		
	Cla	nims, Numbers			
	1-1	8	as originally filed		
	Dra	awings, Sheets			
	1,6	-6/6	as originally filed		
	Wit lan	h regard to the <b>lang</b> guage in which the ir	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the iternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	The	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:		
		the language of pub	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
		the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).		
	Wit inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.		
		filed together with the	ne international application in computer readable form.		
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.			
	<b>-</b>	The statement that listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence sished.		
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.		This opinion has be been considered to	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		

- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1, 3, 10, 12

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

2, 4-9, 11, 13-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-B-6 412 0041 (DEAN DAWSON FRANK ET AL) 25 June 2002 (2002-06-25)

D2: WO 02/09431 A (DIGITALDECK INC) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31)

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1 (method) and 10 (system) is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT due to the following reasons:

1.1 With respect to claim 1, D1 discloses (references in parentheses applying to D1):

A data acquisition source management method for managing acquisition sources, the data acquisition source management method comprising the steps of: generating a source list for containing at least one acquisition source by a Real-time Multimedia Data On Demand "RTMDOD" server, each of the at least one acquisition source contained in the source list being for provision of data therefrom and being in data communication with the RTMDOD server (col. 6, lines 6-12); providing the source list to a data requestor system, the source list being provided by the RTMDOD server in response to the RTMDOD server receiving a list request from the data requestor system, the data requestor system being in data communication with the RTMDOD server; and receiving a data request from the data requestor system by the RTMDOD server, the data request being a request for data from one or more of the at least one acquisition source being registered on the source list and being indicated thereby (col. 10, lines 32-60;

Therefore, D1 fully anticipates the subject matter of claim 1.

Similar reasoning applies for corresponding system claim 10.

- 1.2 The additional subject matter of dependent claims 3 and 12 is also fully anticipated by D1. (see D1, fig. 6a and 6b)
- 2. The additional subject matter of dependent claims 2, 4-9, 11 and 13-18 refers to a number of features among which: the data being delivered to the client via the multimedia meta-server (RTMDOD); the client first having to be authorised before acquiring the data from the server. However these features are well known in the art of client-server systems and

## WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/SG 03/00217

constitute merely obvious design options to the man skilled in the art, as for example shown in D2 (see page 4, lines 22-23; page 9, lines 22-24).

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 2, 4-9, 11 and 13-18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.